IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.10869 OF 2004

Sushila Abu Ranbhise ...Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. M.S.Khadilkar Adv. for Petitioner
Mr. r.M. Patne A.G.P. for Respondents

CORAM: F.I.REBELLO & ROSHAN DALVI, JJ.
DATED: 6TH DECEMBER, 2005

P.C.

. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner points out that in similar other Petitions this Court has issued directions on 25th August 2005. In that light of the matter, the same directions are issued in this matter.

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

. In the reply filed by the Respondents it appears that the case of the Petitioner was considered and the same was rejected as he did not produce the documents. It also discloses that the name of the Petitioner was not included in the list available with Mantralaya. However, considering that in other Petitions the Petitioners are give fresh opportunity, the Petitioner herein also can be given opportunity, to file fresh representation.

. In the light of that if Petitioner makes a

representation within 2 months from today, the Respondents to consider the same and dispose of the representation within 2 months thereafter. If the application/representation is not made within the said time then the Respondents are not bound to consider the application if made.

. With the above directions Petition disposed of with no order as to costs.

(F.I.REBELLO J.)

(ROSHAN DALVI, J.)